Curl and divergence

1. For each of the following, either compute the expression or explain why it doesn't make sense (i.e. is not defined). Assume that $f(x, y, z) = x^2y + xz - 1$ and $\mathbf{F} = \langle z, x, y \rangle$.

For example, we can say div f does not make sense as div is an operation defined on vector fields, not scalar functions.

- (a) div $(\operatorname{curl} f)$
- (b) div (curl \mathbf{F})
- (c) $\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F})$
- (d) $\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{div} \mathbf{f})$
- (e) div (div \mathbf{F})
- (f) $\nabla f \bullet \mathbf{F}$
- (g) $\operatorname{curl}(\nabla f)$
- (h) $\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} + \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}$
- (i) div $(\nabla f + \mathbf{F})$
- 2. Using the same scalar function f(x, y, z) and vector field $\mathbf{F} = \langle z, x, y \rangle$ given above, evaluate each of the following expressions. You may use the fundamental theorem for line integrals or Green's theorem if they apply.

Hint: They will in all but one case.

- (a) $\int_{C_1} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ where C_1 is the line segment from (-1,3,5) to (3,-1,-2).
- (b) $\int_{C_2} \nabla f \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ where C_2 is the portion of the parabola given by $\mathbf{r}(t) = \langle t^2, t, 3t \rangle$ with $-1 \leq t \leq 1$.
- (c) $\int_{C_3} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} ds$ where C_3 is the curve given by $\mathbf{r}(t) = \langle e^{t^2}, \ln(t^3+1), 1 \rangle$ with $t \in [0, 5]$.
- (d) $\int_{C_4} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ where C_4 is the ellipse given by $\mathbf{r}(t) = \langle \cos t, \sin t, \cos t \rangle$ with $t \in [0, 2\pi]$.
- 3. **Optional:** Assuming the appropriate partial derivatives exist and are continuous for a scalar function f and vector field $\mathbf{F} = \langle P, Q, R \rangle$ show that div and curl satisfy familiar rules of differentiation.
 - (a) Prove div $(f\mathbf{F}) = f \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} + F \bullet \nabla f$.
 - (b) Prove curl $(f\mathbf{F}) = f$ curl $\mathbf{F} + (\nabla f) \times \mathbf{F}$.
- 4. **Optional:** Assuming the continuity of the appropriate partial derivatives, we have seen that all vector fields of the form $\mathbf{F} = \nabla g$ satisfy the equation curl F = 0 and that vector fields of the form $\mathbf{F} = \text{curl } G$ satisfy the equation div F = 0. This suggests the question:

are there any equations that all functions of the form $f = \text{div } \mathbf{G}$ must satisfy?

Show the answer to this question is "No." by proving that *every* continuous function on \mathbb{R}^3 is the divergence of some vector field.

Hint: If
$$g(x, y, z) = \int_0^x f(t, y, z) dt$$
 then what is $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$?